Groundfish Port Recovery and Revitalization Plan
for the Port of New Bedford/Fairhaven

Daniel Georgianna, Rodney Avila, David Bethoney, Alan Cass,
Sharon Challingsworth, and William Hogan

UMass Dartmouth

SMAST Contribution Series #14-0401
May 1, 2014

This report was funded by a grant from Massachusetts State Legislature and the Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game to the Port of New Bedford Harbor Development Commission.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the 47 shoreside business owners and managers who gave us interviews. This report would not be complete without them. We especially appreciate the sector managers: John Haran, Linda McCann, and Stephanie Raphael, for their help in understanding how sectors work. We also thank Brant McAfee, Fisheries Data and GIS Analyst at MA-Division of Marine Fisheries for sending data on landings and Mark Grant, Sector Policy Analyst at NOAA Fisheries for advice and data on sector membership. We thank Jon Mitchell, Mayor of New Bedford for sending letters to all shoreside businesses and his support for this project. We also thank Jeff Stieb, Harbor Development Commission (HDC) Executive Director, Pamela Lafreniere, General Counsel HDC, and HDC Commissioners Richie Canastra, Davis Sullivan, Jimmy Dwyer, Patricia Lareau, and Brian Rothschild for their guidance. We thank Bill Roth, Director of Planning and Economic Development for the Town of Fairhaven for his advice and editing the description of the Port. We also thank Matthew Georgianna for tracking down the shoreside businesses. We acknowledge and thank Steve Cadrin, Pingguo He, and Kevin Stokesbury from the Department of Fisheries Oceanography, School of Marine Science and Technology (SMAST) and Kate Kramer from the Center for Sustainable Fisheries, who are experts in their fields, for writing sections of the Recommendations. We also thank Tammy Murphy, economist at the Northeast Fishery Science Center for reviewing parts of this report.

We thank Rodney Avila, Peter Pereira and John Robson for contributing photographs, and we thank Frank Ogders Smith for inserting the photographs and laying out the document.

We thank state senators Mark Montigny and Bruce Tarr and the legislative delegations in Gloucester and New Bedford for securing funding for this report from the Massachusetts Legislature.

Portions of this report describing the Port of New Bedford are directly attributable to the Massachusetts Department of Transportation Ports Compact Strategic Plan.

All errors, omitted or committed, are the responsibility of the authors.
Groundfish Port Recovery and Revitalization Plan for the Port of New Bedford

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements 2
Executive Summary 5
Recommendations 7
Groundfish Port Recovery And Revitalization Plan For The Port Of New Bedford 9
   I. Introduction And Background 9
   II. Recent History Of Commercial Fishing In The Port 9
   III. Recent Changes In Groundfish Management 11
   IV. Preparations And Costs For A Groundfish Trip 12
   V. Decline Of Groundfish Fishery In The Port 2006-2013 14
   VI. Impact Of Declining Groundfish Landings On Shoreside Businesses 26
   VII. Recommendations For Revitalizing The Groundfish Fishery 31
   VIII. Recommendations For Improving The Port 34
   IX. References 38
Appendix A: Development And Current Uses Of The Port 40
Appendix B Overview Of Designated Port Areas, The Harbor Plan And The Strategic Plan 44
Appendix C: Data Tables for Figures 47
Appendix D. Newspaper Articles 51
Appendix E. Interview Forms 56
Appendix F. Shoreside Industries that Service the Fishing Industry 60
End Notes 65
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Groundfish, scallops, and other species landed in New Bedford adjusted for inflation 10
Figure 2. Timeline of management actions and reductions in allocated DAS For a hypothetical groundfish vessel 12
Figure 3. Total groundfish pounds and values landed in New Bedford 16
Figure 4. Value of New Bedford landings by groundfish vessels by category 16
Figure 5. Average price per live pound landed in New Bedford by Groundfish vessels and average price adjusted for inflation 17
Figure 6. Number of active vessels that landed fish or shellfish in New Bedford by vessel type 17
Figure 7. Number of groundfish vessels that landed in New Bedford by sector membership 18
Figure 8. Number of groundfish sector vessels that landed in New Bedford by vessel length 19
Figure 9. Average annual value landed in New Bedford for active and all (active and inactive) groundfish vessels 19
Figure 10. Average trips per year by active New Bedford sector vessels by vessel length 20
Figure 11. Average value landed in New Bedford per trip by active sector vessels by vessel length 21
Figure 12. Average annual value landed in New Bedford per active sector vessel by vessel length 21
Figure 13. Average annual value landed in New Bedford per sector vessel (active plus inactive) by vessel length 22

LIST OF TABLES.

Table 1. Number of Vessels that landed in the Port of New Bedford at any time from 2006-2013 15
Table 2. New Bedford groundfish vessels from 2006-2013 by vessel size and sector membership 18
Table 3. The number of shoreside businesses in the Port of New Bedford by product category in 2014 and the number of shoreside businesses that have gone out of business between 2004 and 2014 by product category 27
Table 4. New Bedford shoreside fishing businesses interviewed by product category 28
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Port of New Bedford, which includes Fairhaven, has led the nation in value of seafood landings since 1999. Scallop landings accounted for the majority of increased value landed in the Port due to increased catch and successful marketing of scallops. The groundfish fishery in the Port contributed a large share of the port’s value, however, until recently, when the value of landings from groundfish vessels dropped sharply from 2011 to 2013.

The Port of New Bedford is also the Commonwealth’s second most valuable commercial port. In addition to fisheries, the main commercial activity in the Port, New Bedford offers commercial opportunities in break bulk cargo, marine service to off-shore wind farms, ship repair and other shoreside marine support services, ferries to Martha's Vineyard and Cuttyhunk islands, cruise vessels, excursion vessels, and a growing recreational fishing and boating industry.

This report documents the recent decline of the groundfish industry in the Port of New Bedford, estimates its effect on shoreside businesses and makes recommendation for the recovery of this fishery and improvements in the Port. We used data from the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MA DMP) on landings in the Port of New Bedford and Fairhaven by vessel from both federal and state waters from 2006-2013 to document the recent decline in revenue landed by New Bedford groundfish vessels. We interviewed 47 business owners and managers to estimate the effect of the decline in landings on businesses that service the fishing industry in the Port.

Major Findings on the Decline of Value Landed from Groundfish Vessels

- Value of groundfish landed in New Bedford by all vessels declined from $31 million in 2011 to $19 million in 2013.

- Value of landings from all species by groundfish vessels (defined as vessels that land the majority of their value in groundfish) decreased from $33 million in 2011 to $22 million in 2013, with most of this decline from groundfish species.

- Average price per live pound for groundfish increased from 2009 through 2012 but dropped in 2013. The decline in average price per live pound of groundfish in 2013 reflects both declining prices for some species and a change in the composition of groundfish landings, with an increasing share generated by lower-value species. The actual average price per live pound of groundfish was roughly the same in 2012 and 2013 as it was in 2006 and 2007, but the average price adjusted for inflation declined over this period from $2.15 per pound in 2006 (in 2013 dollars) to $1.41 in 2013.

- The number of groundfish vessels actively landing in New Bedford declined from 97 vessels in 2006 to 47 vessels in 2013.

- Groundfish sector vessels actively landing in New Bedford declined from 72 vessels in 2010 to 39 vessels in 2013. Groundfish non-sector vessels declined from 13 to 5 over the same period with their average landings per vessel from all species less than $1,000 in 2013.

- The average value landed per trip and the average annual value landed from vessels 75 feet and longer increased significantly in 2010 through 2012 relative to years before sectors. Following the drop in landings in 2013, average annual value landed by these vessels in 2013 was not
significantly different from the years before sector management. There were no significant differences before and after sectors for average annual value landed from vessels between 50 feet and 75 feet.

- Data on trips costs were not available to us. The 2012 Final Performance of the Multispecies (Groundfish) Fishery estimated trips costs from observer data for groundfish trips. That analysis estimated that average costs for groundfish trips on vessels 75 feet and longer increased from $9,833 in FY2009 to $29,714 in FY2012 (Tables 15 and 46). Much of this increase in costs was due to fuel prices. These costs do not include leasing costs.

- The loss of 41 groundfish vessels landing in New Bedford from 2010 through 2013 implies a loss of 164 crew positions assuming an average crew size of four. The MA Office of Labor and Workforce Development estimates a loss of 116 employees in fishing from all fisheries from 2010 through 2012 in Bristol County, which includes New Bedford and Fairhaven.

**Major Findings on the Effects of Declining Landings on Shoreside Businesses**

- Fifty businesses that supplied products or purchased fish from New Bedford fishing vessels have gone out of business between 2004 and 2013. More than half of these businesses were in processing, wholesaling and retailing.

- The loss of 50 businesses, as well as reductions in employment in surviving businesses, translates to job loss. The MA Office of Labor and Workforce Development estimates a loss of 227 jobs between 2010 and 2012 in Seafood Product Preparation & Packaging in Bristol Country, which includes Fairhaven and New Bedford. The MA Office of Labor and Workforce Development does not estimate employment in other shoreside businesses because they are parts of much larger businesses categories.

- The decline in the vessels, trips, and landings in the groundfish industry has created more dependence in New Bedford on the scallop fishery, which landed 85% of the port’s value in 2012.

- Despite these recent declines, the Port of New Bedford remains a full-service port with numerous businesses in every category of shoreside services with a total of 148 shoreside businesses whose main customers are the fishing industry.

- We interviewed 47 shoreside business owners or managers. Every business owner or manager that we interviewed was angry and frustrated by federal groundfish management. These business owners and managers said that they are at the mercy of federal government agencies with little knowledge of the way that the industry works, little consideration for fishing businesses, and almost no information on the fish available to be caught.

- Sector managers of the four sectors that operate from New Bedford told interviewers that estimating, finding and allocating quota for fishing trips are the most difficult parts of their job. They can’t find quota to lease when they need quota on choke species at prices that make fishing trips profitable.

- Interviews showed that businesses in the Port of New Bedford that depend on the groundfish fishery now rely more on other fisheries, vessels from other ports, other products (such as frozen fish inputs for processing), and other industries (such as trucking).
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REVITALIZING THE GROUNDFISH FISHERY

Develop a Video Groundfish Survey Process to Generate Data for Stock Assessment

SMAST is developing a new groundfish survey that combines traditional fishermen's knowledge with advanced video observations designed for nets and state-of-the-art benthic imagery and sonar. The objective of the survey is to estimate the abundance, spatial distribution, size structure, and length-weight relationship of the Georges Bank yellowtail flounder stock on the southern flank of Georges Bank and also estimate these parameters for cod, haddock, monkfish, skate and other groundfish. Sampling protocol using video surveys has served to increase the examination of the data supporting the yellowtail flounder stock assessments.

Change Magnuson-Stevens Act

There is widespread agreement that the current fisheries management system needs improvement because it is not producing the maximum benefit to the nation. Much of the problem stems from ambiguities in the language of the law and a focus on one phrase: "preventing overfishing" which does not take into account benefits to the nation including economic stability or growth for ports like New Bedford. To achieve a balance between conservation and economic growth within the Port, the often contradictory 10 National Standards for conservation and management measures require revisions to improve balance between biological, economic, and social goals, including safety at sea.

Improve Scientific Support for Annual Catch Limits

Recommendations for stock assessments to meet the needs of management:

- More accurate and frequent stock assessments and catch projections
- Greater consideration of alternative stock assessment approaches, including cooperative research with the fishing industry
- More timely and transparent catch monitoring, including collaborations with fishing vessels for data sharing
- Greater consideration of environmental change in stock assessments and overfishing definitions
- A more open, inclusive and transparent stock assessment process.

Examine the Effects of Individual Species Quotas on Commercial Landings

In 2012, only 32 percent of the Northeast groundfish quota was caught, down from 41 percent in 2011. What has caused this low and declining percentage of total groundfish quotas that are caught? Answering this questions require a concerted effort of scientists using biological and economic models with data from a wide variety of sources, for example, a model that predicts apparent or available abundance as a function of costs, regulation, availability, catchability, and stock size. This type of research is well suited for cooperative research between scientists and fishermen. An experimental fishery using revenue from the catch could be used to underwrite data collection.

Use Conservation Engineering to Develop More Effect Fishing Gear

Both groundfish and scallop fisheries are severely challenged by the low quotas of yellowtail flounder and winter flounder, and more recently, windowpane flounder. Developing fishing gear that avoids capture of these species will allow the groundfish and scallop fisheries to harvest relatively healthy stocks and allow these flounder stocks to recover quickly. SMAST and MA DMF scientists, commercial
fishermen and gear suppliers are developing trawl gear for haddock, pollock, hake and redfish that will fish more effectively. This new gear can reduce flounder bycatch, reduce impact to fish escapees, lower intrusiveness on the seabed, and save fuel.

**Provide Funds to Fishermen for Licensing in Other Marine Activities**
Licenses and training for Master/Mate, Able Body Seaman, Operating Engineer, and other trades are available for other maritime trades, but these are expensive for fishermen. Schools and institutes in this area offer training for licenses that cost from $2700 for the top license to about $1600 for the least license and take from 6-8 weeks of intense training.

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING THE PORT**

**Capital Project Recommendations Specific to the Fishing Industry**
1. Structural repairs to New Bedford municipal fishing piers.
2. Expansion of New Bedford municipal fishing piers – Steamship, Homer’s and Leonard’s.
3. Phase V dredging of New Bedford municipal and private berths.
4. Develop and implement plan for fleet use of shoreside power installed at New Bedford municipal fishing piers.

**Recommendations to Increase Overall Economic Activity in the Port**
1. Repair of the north side of the State Pier.
3. Bulk heading of State Pier.
4. State Pier Refrigeration Project.
5. Route 6 Replacement Study.
6. South Coast Rail.
7. South Terminal Rail Connection.
9. Excursion/public access hub at Fisherman’s Wharf/State Pier and land use planning for State Pier and adjacent properties.
10. Recreational Vessel Dockage and Survey Study.